

| | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/691,871 | SCHWINKE ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Wesley L. Kim | 2688 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Wesley L. Kim.

(3) _____.

(2) Frank Nicholas.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 February 2006

Time: n/a

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims 1, 9, and 17

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner suggested that the applicant add the limitations that were amended in claims 1 and 9 also into claim 17 to help put the case into a better condition for allowance.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


Wesley L. Kim
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)